



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/862,968	05/22/2001	John Andrew Aiken JR.	5577-233	9829
20792 7	7590 10/22/2004		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			VU, VIET DUY	
PO BOX 3742	8	•		
RALEIGH, N	C 27627		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/22/2007	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			() /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	01
055	09/862,968	AIKEN ET AL.	2
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Viet Vu	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		ly a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this committee (ABANDONED) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23.	August 2004.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·	· ·	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11,13-17,19-24,26-30,32,34 and 37. Claim(s) 12,25,31,33 and 35 is/are objected 8. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. <u>36-47</u> is/are rejected. to.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			· ·
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received fority documents have beau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S Retent and Todowark Office.	Paper 8) 5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)

Art Unit: 2154

1. Applicant is informed that the original claim listing comprises two claims that were numbered as claim 18. Pursuant rule 1.126, claims 18-46 have been renumbered by the office as claims 19-47 respectively. Applicant is required to use correct claim numbers in the next correspondence.

Art Rejections:

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-11, 13-17, 19-24, 26-30, 32, 34 and 36-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrera, III, U.S. pat. No. 6,247,057.

Art Unit: 2154

Per claims 1-8, <u>Barrera</u> discloses a system and method for assigning a virtual port for a connection originated by one of multiple application instances comprising:

- a) providing a table for mapping a physical port for the common network associated with a network server to a virtual port (see col 8, lines 53-63),
- b) selecting/assigning the virtual port in response to a request from one of multiple application instances (see col 6, line 64 col 7, line 13),
- c) updating the table in response to requests for new connection or terminating (see col 8, lines 60-63).

Barrera also teaches an alternative embodiment to the use of the mapping table where a fixed number of virtual ports are used to assign to the application instances (see col 8, lines 64-67). Barrera does not explicitly teach providing means for indicating availability of the fixed virtual ports for the network address/server.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize such indicators in <u>Barrera</u> because it would have enabled implementing the fixed mapping embodiment to assign the virtual port to the application instances (see col 9, lines 19-26).

Per claim 9, it would have been further obvious to one skilled in the art that Barrera's invention would have been applicable to any conventional data computing systems.

Per claims 10-11, Barrera teaches that the port assignment process is usually performed at TCP/IP stacks (coupling facility) of the data processing systems (see col 6, lines 8-24).

Claims 13-17, 19-24, 26-30, 32, 34 and 36-47 are similar in scope as that of claims 1-11 and hence are rejected for the same rationale set forth above for claims 1-11.

Allowable Subject Matter:

5. Claims 12, 18, 25, 31, 33 and 35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion:

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is 703-305-9597. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee, can be reached on (703) 305-8498.

Art Unit: 2154

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600. The Group fax number is 703-872-9306.

VIET D. VU

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2154 10/14/04